1	KARIE N. WILSON, ESQ.		
	Nevada Bar No. 7957		
2			
3	6605 Grand Montecito Pkwy, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89149		
3	702-384-7000 Phone		
4			
-	kwilson@alversontaylor.com		
5			
_	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP ANNA S. McLEAN, Cal. Bar No. 142233 (<i>Pro Hac Vice pending</i>)		
6	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor		
7	San Francisco, California 94111-4109		
,	Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947		
8	Email: amclean@sheppardmullin.com		
9	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP FRANK FALZETTA, Cal. Bar No. 125146 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
10	IENNIEED M HOEEMAN Cal Par No. 240600 (Admitted Pro Han Vice)		
10	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600		
11	Los Angeles, California 90067-6055 Telephone: 310.228.3700		
	Facsimile: 310.228.3701		
12			
12	jhoffman@sheppardmullin.com		
13	Attorneys for Defendant		
14			
	Insurance Company		
15			
1.0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
17			
		1	
18	JOHN BAMFORTH, ALISE BAMFORTH,	CASE NO.: 2:21-cv-00712-JAD-NJK	
1.0	JESSICA ENAMORADO, CYNTHIA LIERA, individually and on behalf of all those similarly	REVISED STIPULATION AND	
19	situated,	PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING	
20	Plaintiffs,	STAY OF DISCOVERY	
20			
21	v.		
	CTATE EADM MUTUAL AUTOMODUE		
22	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, DOES 1 through 10,		
23	THOUSE THE THE THE TENED TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT		
دے	Defendant.		
24			

KNW 27102

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Plaintiffs JOHN BAMFORTH, ALISE BAMFORTH, JESSICA ENAMORADO and CYNTHIA LIERA, by and through their counsel of record Danielle C. Miller, Esq. of the law firm Eglet Adams, and Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY ("State Farm"), by and through its counsel of record Karie N. Wilson of the law firm Alverson Taylor & Sanders and Anna S. McLean of the law firm Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP, agree as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-21-829883-C. Defendant State Farm removed this action to this Court on April 30, 2021.
- 2. State Farm filed its Motion to Dismiss on May 7, 2021. Pursuant to a Stipulation and Order approved by the Court on May 11, 2021, Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is due on June 7, 2021 and State Farm's Reply is due on June 24, 2021.
- 3. In this District, requests to stay discovery may be granted when: (1) the pending motion is potentially dispositive; (2) the potentially dispositive motion can be decided without additional discovery; and (3) the Court has taken a "preliminary peek" at the merits of the potentially dispositive motion. Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc., 278 F.R.D. 597, 602 (D. Nev. 2011). In doing so, the court must consider whether the pending motion is potentially dispositive of the entire case, and whether that motion can be decided without additional discovery. See Federal Housing Finance Agency v. GR Investments LLC, Case No. 2:17-cv-03005-JAD-EJY, 2020 WL 2798011 at *3 (D. Nev. May 29, 2020) (granting motion to stay discovery pending resolution of potentially dispositive motion for summary judgment); see also Mintun v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., 2:19-cv-00033-JAD-NJK, 2019 WL 2130134 at **1-2 (D. Nev. May 15, 2019) (granting motion to stay discovery pending resolution of

KNW 27102

2

- 4. The Parties agree that State Farm's motion to dismiss raises potentially dispositive legal and jurisdictional defenses to Plaintiffs' claims concerning State Farm's auto insurance rates during the COVID pandemic. The Parties agree that no discovery is required to resolve the pending Motion to Dismiss. The Parties further agree that discovery in this case will be complicated and expensive. A ruling upon the Motion to Dismiss could lead to dismissal or it could lead to a more specific framing of the discovery. The parties agree that a stay of discovery is consistent with FRCP 1 as the Parties may expend resources that are unnecessary. In addition, State Farm has specifically alleged that the Nevada Division of Insurance has exclusive jurisdiction over the issues alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint. Plaintiffs have not requested discovery on the question of whether this case is subject to the Nevada Division of Insurance's exclusive jurisdiction or this is subject to this Court's original jurisdiction.
- 5. This action is one of nine actions involving a dispute related to premium refunds from automobile insurers due to the effects of COVID-19. Pursuant to Local Rule 42-1(a), eight of those cases have been transferred to District Judge Boulware and Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler. *See* Exhibit 1 Transfer Order. One of those cases was before this court. *See Cannuscio v. GEICO Advantage Insurance Company*, 2-21-cv-00613-JAD-BNW. On June 7, 2021, Plaintiffs filed a Request to Amend Transfer Order to incorporate this case. ECF No. 31. Plaintiffs' request has not been ruled upon by the court.
- 6. Pending resolution of State Farm's Motion to Dismiss, the Parties agree and stipulate to a stay of discovery including, but not limited to, any discovery obligations set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and LR 26-1.

KNW 27102

	discovery to preserve judicial and party resources and based on application of the		
	factors set forth in paragraph 3, above.		
	9. The Parties represent that this stipulation is sought in good faith, is not interposed for		
	delay, and is not filed for an improper purpose.		
	Dated this <u>25th</u> day of June 2021.	Dated this 25th day of June 2021.	
ı	ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS	EGLET ADAMS	
	Karie N. Wilson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7957 6605 Grand Montecito Pkwy Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89149 Telephone: (702) 384-7000 Facsimile: (702) 385-7000 kwilson@alversontaylor.com	/s/ Matthew L. Sharp Matthew L. Sharp, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4746 Danielle C. Miller, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9127 400 S. 7 th Street Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 450-5400 Facsimile: (702) 450-5451 dmiller@egletlaw.com	
	<u>Order</u>		
1	IT IS ORDERED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. Discovery is STAYED pending the district judge's decision on State Farm's motion to dismiss. The parties' proposed DPSO is due 30 days after the district judge's decision.		
		IT IS SO ORDERED	
		DATED: 2:51 pm, July 09, 2021	
		Berbweter	
	4	BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE KNW 27102	